

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,746	07/15/2003	Umio Mukai	0001418/2215USU	7413
7590 02/16/2006			EXAMINER	
Charles N. J. R		CECIL, TERRY K		
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P. 10th Floor One Landmark Square Stamford, CT 06901-2682			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	
			DATE MAILED: 02/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/619,746	MUKAI, UMIO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mr. Terry K. Cecil	1723			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory property of the p	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u>7-15</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 7-15 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 7-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers		•			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exar The drawing(s) filed on 7-15-2003 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objecte the drawing(s) be held in abeya rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Business the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
Attachmen						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date <u>three</u> .	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's cancellation of the nonelected claims 1-6 is acknowledged. Because of the cancellation, applicant's arguments traversing the restriction requirement is moot.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are indefinite because of the following reasons:
- Although the term "interlock" appears in the specification, it is still not clear what is meant by "the pressure fluid is fed from the pressure fluid intake means to the associated cleaning means *in interlock* with the switching operation of the switch means". (Did applicant intend to claim that the pressure fluid is fed to the cleaning means at the same time that the switching means is operated into a backwash mode?).

Drawings

- 4. The drawings are objected to because of the following:
- The leader of reference no. 115 (right side) should indicate the end cap.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should

Application/Control Number: 10/619,746 Page 3

Art Unit: 1723

include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,746

Art Unit: 1723

Page 4

6. Claims 7-12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the German reference 583659, hereinafter '659 in view of either one of the French reference 1,276,086, hereinafter '086 OR Webb (U.S. 5,238,566). As shown in the drawings, the German reference '659 teaches all the limitations of the aforementioned claims except for the cleaning means that pressurizes the raw fluid in the case by a pressure fluid intake means. However, such is taught by the '086 as shown in figures 1 and 2 and also in Webb (shown in figure 2). It is considered that it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to having the cleaning means of either '086 or Webb in the invention of '659, since both teach of benefit of improving backwashing of filter screen elements.

7. Claims 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '659 in view of the French Reference 1,196,914, hereinafter '914. As explained above, '659 teaches all the limitations of the aforementioned claims except for the cleaning means, wherein the cleaning means includes a piston and a cylinder. However, such is taught by '914, as shown in his drawings. It is considered that it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to having the piston cleaning means of '914 in the invention of '659. since '914 teaches the benefit of enhancing backwashing of a filter screen. As for claim 14, having the pressure fluid being fed at the same time as the switch activates the backwashing mode would be within ordinary skill since the movement of the pistin is intended to enhance the backwashing action.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,746

Art Unit: 1723

8. Contact Information:

• Examiner Mr. Terry K. Cecil can be reached at (571) 272-1138 at the Carlisle campus in

Alexandria, Virginia for any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier

communications from the examiner. Note that the examiner is on the increased flextime

schedule but can normally be found in the office during the hours of 8:30a to 4:30p, on at

least four days during the week M-F.

• Wanda Walker, the examiner's supervisor, can be reached at (571) 272-1151 if attempts to

reach the examiner are unsuccessful.

• The Fax number for this art unit for official faxes is (571) 273-8300.

• Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access

to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197

(toll-free).

Mr. Terry K. Cecil

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1723

TKC

February 9, 2006

Page 5